

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,335	08/28/2000	Jeffrey A. Giacomel	12643/210	8334	
24349	7590 05/03/2002			,	
William R. Gustavson Thompson & Gustavson, LLP 12225 Greenville Ave			EXAMINER		
			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
Suite 995 Dallas, TX 75	5243		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. 09/650.335 GIACOMEL, JEFFREY A. Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 3743 Allen J. Flanigan -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an

10. Other: \_\_\_

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: 9 and 11. Claim(s) rejected: 1,8,10 and 20-23.

Art Unit: 3743

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations added to claims 1, 22, and 23 ("having a food grade coating" in claim 1, "no heat source being physically mounted to the apparatus" in claims 22 and 23) have not been presented before (claim 21 recites a "heat conductive coating", which is presumably not the same as a "food grade coating".)